









Dr. David Shapiro-Ilan

Dr. Clive Bock

Organic Methods for Control of Insect Pests and Diseases of Pecan and Peach

Dr. David Shapiro-Ilan and Dr. Clive H. Bock

USDA-ARS-SEFTNRL, 21 Dunbar Rd., Byron, GA 31008



Overview of presentation

Presentation in two sections:

- Organic methods for control of diseases of pecan and peach (Clive Bock)
- Organic methods for insect pest control in pecan and peach (David Shapiro-Ilan)

About the crops:

- Both are perennial crops, and take several year to bear • Limitations to organic production are due to both pests and diseases
- Peach suffers several postharvest issues











Top-working - host resistance • Top-working existing, susceptible cultivars is possible • Best done on young vigorous trees • Practical if the acreage is not too great • Use scab resistant scion wood

Excel Mandan Elliot Caddo Kanza Amling McMillan Sumner (some loss of resistance?) Highest rate of success with bark grafts (Goff et al., 1996):

• Trees 3-15+ y age • 1 to 4" stock • April-June



Organically acceptable fungicides

- There are several organically acceptable fungicides available
- to control various plant diseases
- Few of these have been thoroughly tested on pecan diseases • Bordeaux mixture (hydrated lime + copper II sulfate,
- $Ca(OH)_2 + CuSO_4)$
- Scab is the disease that has received most attention



Management of scab with Bordeaux mixture

Based on early work by Demaree (1923, 1924), and Cole and Large (1939) a 4-spray program was recommended. Later, a six-spray program was recommended (Large, 1966, Osburn et al., 1966 (USDA))

- Pros: Lots of data exists to confirm efficacy
- Even on susceptible cultivars can give some control in epidemic years

- Readily available Cons:
- Risk of defoliation if dry alleviated by use of low-lime mixtures (use weather-based scab advisory) • Reputedly hard on equipment (corrosive)
- Copper build up in soil
- Black aphid Recommended spray program :

- Pre-pollineting application of a 4-1-100 mix (12 lbs copper sulfate, 3 lb hyrdrated lime in 100 gal water) when leaves \$ to \$ grown
 A first cover application of a 6-2-100 mix (18 lbs copper sulfate, 6 lb hyrdrated lime in 100 gallons water) a few days after pollination
- Second application of a 6-2-100 mix 2-3 weeks after first
- Third application of a 6-2-100 mix 2-3 weeks after second
- Fourth application of a 6-2-100 mix 2-3 weeks after third
- Fifth application of a 6-2-100 mix 2-3 weeks after fourth
- (if weather conditions conducive to scab, an early pre-pollination of 4-1-100 mix can be recommended when first leaves showing)





Preliminary work on biocontrol of pecan scab

Orchard hygiene

• Removal of leaf trash and other pecan tree debris that can act as a source of inoculum in the spring

• Ensure old shucks are no longer hanging in the tree after harvest

• There are other important reasons to clean up debris, but it is of limited use for scab as the pathogen has a great capacity to multiply and cause an epidemic



Biocontrol of anthracnose

- UGA fungicide test, Ponder Farm, Tifton, 2010
- Cultivar Desirable, foliage assessed 20 July
- Bmj and B. subtilis
- Data courtesy of Dr Tim Brenneman , University of GA





LSD = 1.5

ites Rates: Serenade (Bacillus subtilis) [6 qt/A] Serenade [6 qt/A] + Kocide 3000 [1.75 lb/A] Bacillus mycoides J [4.2 cz] Kocide 3000 [1.75 lb/A] Super Tin 80WP [3.75 oz]+ Elast 400F [25 fl oz] Non-treated

Treatment <u>Spray regime and assessments:</u> 10 sprays per season Incidence is the % of leaflets on middle leaf on each terminal

Sulfur to control powdery mildew on pecan



• Sulfur has been shown to be effective against powdery mildew (Brenneman et al., 1988)

• Apply as needed at a rate of 4-6 lb acre

• Sulfur sprays virtually eliminate the fungus compared to non-untreated fruit which had 80% of fruit surface area diseased

Summary – organic control of pecan scab and other diseases of pecan

• Resistant cultivars are the best solution to scab

Avoid very susceptible cultivars in scab-prone areas
 Use the full range of available management practices including:

Top working trees with resistant scion wood Use of organically acceptable fungicides (particularly Bordeaux mixture, sulfur, others?) Biological control (*B. subtills*?)? Orchard hygiene (minor effect for scab)



Peach diseases





Organic production of Peach

 \bullet From a disease perspective, organic production of peach is challenging, especially in wet environments

- An integrated approach is needed to manage these diseases including:
 1) Resistant cultivars/rootstock (only available for certain diseases)
- 2) Applying organically acceptable fungicides
- 3) Orchard hygiene
- 4) Use of wind breaks



isu.edu/fruit-diseases/stone-fruit iga.edu/agriculture/ag-fruits-vegetables/peaches/

Peach scab

- Current USDA grading system: peach fruit is downgraded from #1 to #2 if there is scab damage ("...cracked, or when aggregating more than 3/8 inch in diameter..." ~4-6 well-developed lesions on a fruit)
- If 10% or more of the fruit in a shipment has scab damage, the whole shipment can be downgraded
- Spores are produced from overwintered stem lesions
- Conidial production starts about two weeks before shuck split, and peaks for 3-4 weeks after shuck split
- 3-4 weeks after shuck split
 The time of onset, peak, and tapering-off of spore production is critical for management decisions

1) Apply organically acceptable fungicides (sulfur)

There are no scab resistant cultivars

There are no proven effective cultural approaches to manage scab



Curvel

2011 Peach Scab data

- USDA, Byron, GA, 2011 (data courtesy of Dr. Phil Brennan, University of GA)
- Control as effective with sulfur as conventional fungicides
- Disease incidence and severity still exceeds that stated for grade #1 fruit

Treatment and rate/A	Application timing ^z	Scab incidence ^y	Scab severity ^x
1. Untreated Control		96.9 a	130.8 a
2. Bravo Weather Stik 6F 4.125 pt	PF	96.9 a	98.7 ab
3. Bravo Weather Stik 6F 4.125 pt	SS	88.8 ab	91.1 bc
4. Bravo Weather Stik 6F 4.125 pt	PF, SS	70.6 b	62.4 cd
5. Abound 15 fl oz	PF	81.3 ab	33.5 de
6. Abound 15 fl oz	SS	45.0 c	28.4 e
7. Abound 15 fl oz	PF, SS	20.6 d	3.2 e
8. Bravo Weather Stik 6F 4.125 pt	PF, SS	34.4 cd	8.3 e
Yellow Jacket Sulfur 90W 9 lb	1-10 C		
9. Abound 15 fl oz	PF, SS	18.8 d	4.2 e
Yellow Jacket Sulfur 90W 9 lb	1-10 C	\sim	\sim
10. Yellow Jacket Sulfur 90W 9 lb	PF, SS, 1-10C	31.9 cd	8.4 e
LSD (P = 0.05)		20.0	33.6
² PF = petal fell to 1% shuck split application, SS = shuck >Scab incidence (parcent infected fruit) was recorded for by the same letter are not significantly different when us >Scab seerity was determined by counting the number same letter are not significantly different when using F	split to 10% shuck off application, or 40 randomly selected mature fr sing Fisher's protected LSD test, if scab lesions observed on each or isher's protected LSD test.	and C = cover spray application, wit from each plot, Means followed f 40 fruit, Means followed by the	THE CHITERNITE OF GEORGIA

1) A _l Co	pply orgo	anically spray 1	accepta when bu	Brown Ible fun ds are	rot of gicides pink (6	peach (sulfur) lb/100 () gal)		T	Ŕ
Pink	Full bloom	Petal fall	Shuck -split	1st cover	2 nd cover	3 rd cover	4 th cover	5 th cover	6 th cover	7 th cover
х	×	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
	Remo	ove mun	nmies in	tree ar	nd on gr	ound		C AND		-
No ho are m	ost resis Iore susc	tance a ceptible	vailable than o	, althou thers	ıgh som	e cultivo	ars	P C	X	

Bacterial spot of peach Xanthomonas arboricola pv. pruni

1) Some resistance like cvs. Sentinel and Clayton. Many others are very susceptible eg: O'Henry and Ryan Sun

2) Wind breaks to reduce damage: bacteria spread in wind and rain

3) Copper / oxytetracycline spray focused early in the growing season, from dormant through early shuck split and during fruit maturation

If regular rain then more frequent sprays (watch for Cu toxicity)

for in-season use of copper for bacterial spot suppressi

Stage	Formulated 53%copper (lb/acre)*	Metallic copper (lb/acre)	
Delayed dormant	4 to 5	2	
7 to 10 day interval	2		
1 to 5 to bloom		0.5	
Petal fall	0.5	0.25	
Shuck split	0.25	0.125	

Succ spirt 0.125 After shuck spiit switch to Mycoshield (oxytetracycline) at 8 oz. Mycoshield per 50-100 gals water per acre (every 7-10 days if wet)



4) Avoid pruning late in season (PTSL)

Photos courtesy of T. Bo USDA-ARS, Byron, GA

Armillaria spp root rot/PTSL/nematodes

- MP-29 (USDA) Dr. Tom Beckman
- Clonal semi-dwarf plum-peach hybrid •
- Resistant to Peach tree short life (PTSL)
- Resistant to Armillaria
- Resistant to most Root-knot nematodes
- Excellent productivity and fruit size

Rootstock influence on cumulative mortality due to *Armillaria* (ARR), peach tree short life (PTSL) and other causes on an *Armillaria* infested site in central Georgia^z (Fort Valley, 2007-2011, Data courtesy of Dr. Tom Beckman)

Rootstock Cause of Death (%)

Organic production of Peach

ongunic production of peach is challenging, but an integrated approach to help manage diseases can work and should include:

1) Planting resistant rootstocks/cultivars

- 2) Applying organically acceptable fungicides
- 3) Orchard hygiene
- 4) Wind breaks



Acknowledgements and research needs

For some of the presented data: Dr. Tom Beckman (USDA-ARS-SEFTNRL) Dr. Tim Brenneman (UGA, Tifton)

Dr. Phil Brennan (UGA, Athens)

Future research needs for organic control of pecan and peach diseases include:

Establishing efficacy of compost teas on scab and anthracnose of pecan, and various diseases of peach

- Testing and optimizing the use of biocontrol agents on major
 pathogens of pecan and peach
- Test bicarbonates and other organically acceptable agents on the major pathogens of both crops
- Breeding resistant cultivars



Organic Methods for Insect Pest Control in Pecans and Peaches

David Shapiro-Ilan

USDA-ARS, SE Fruit and Tree Nut Research Laboratory, Byron, GA USA



Outline

Pecan

- Pecan weevil
- Aphids
- Lepidoptera
- Stink bugs

Peach

- Plum curculio
- Peachtree borer





The Good Guys















• Preserve the good guys!

• When needed use them as curative agents!

Pecan Weevil, Curculio caryae

- Key pest of pecan,
- Life-cycle 2-3 yrs
- Adults emerge July-October
- (but mostly mid-Aug to mid-Sept)
- Most crawl or fly to the trunk
- Larvae drop to soil (late Sept to Dec), & form a soil cell at 3" to 10" depth
- About 90% of the larvae pupate after 1 yr in soil & emerge as adults the next yr
- The other 10% remain as larvae an extra yr (3 yr life-cycle)





Traps used for monitoring

One Potential Microbial Agent for Pecan Weevil Control: Entomopathogenic Fungi

- Focus on Hypocreales: includes *Beauveria* bassiana, Metarhizium spp., Isaria fumosorosea
- Grow on artificial media, commercially available
- Can control various white grubs, black vine weevil, Lepidoptera, grasshoppers, aphids, white flies, etc



Fungus Vs. Pecan Weevil

Endemic (native) Fungus in the Orchard: 30% to 50% pecan weevil mortality from endemic fungi, e.g., *B. bassiana* (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2003)

Applied/Introduced Fungi:

- 80% mortality or more over a two week period of during peak weevil emergence
- Best treatments application of *B. bassiana* to trunk or to the ground with a cover crop - Sudan grass (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2008; Hudson et al., 2010)
- <u>Apply using standard spray equipment (>10¹³ conidia/ha)</u>





Entomopathogenic Nematodes Steinernematidae & Heterorhabditidae



Entomopathogenic Nematodes (aka "Beneficial" Nematodes") are Safe Bio-insecticides

- Unlike plant parasitic nematodes, entomopathogenic nematodes only attack insects (but they can sometimes suppress plant nematodes indirectly)
- Entomopathogenic nematodes generally do not harm beneficial insects; phoretic relationships have been documented (e.g., Shapiro et al 1993 J. Nematol.)





General Considerations for Applying Beneficial Nematodes

- Nematodes can be stored but generally under refrigeration (40-50 F/4-10C), depends on species
- Shelf-life generally several weeks to few months, depend on nematode species & formulation type
- Just strain or mix with water & go!
- Apply using standard spray equipment or via irrigation
- Remove filters & fine screens if possible
- · Desiccation sensitive, so irrigate before and after!
- · Avoid UV light and oxygen deprivation
- Optimum temperatures 68-84 F (but some are more flexible)

Suppression of Pecan Weevil Prior to Emergence



- Steinernema carpocapsae is highly virulent (especially to adult weevils)
 - Applied *S. carpocapsae* 3X per yr (25 per cm² minimum)
 - Less than 1% weevil survival in treated pots after 2 yrs
 Lots of natural mortality (as expected); nematode provide
 - 81% additional control (Shapiro-Ilan & Gardner, 2012)

Pecan Aphids

3 Species:

black pecan aphid, *Melanocallis caryaefoliae* blackmargined aphid, *Monellia caryella* yellow pecan aphid, *Monelliopsis pecanis*

· Conserve natural enemies!



 Cover crops (e.g., clover, sesbania), molasses sprays can enhance natural enemies (Bugg & Dutcher 1993, Dutcher et al. 1999).

Pecan Aphids: Curative Controls



- Fungus, e.g., *Isaria fumosorosea* shows promise (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2008)
- Showed virulence to all 3 pecan aphids species:



 Soaps, oil, neem products may have some efficacy
 See:

http://web.pppmb.cals.cornell.edu /resourceguide/ (a good overall guide)

 Plant growth regulators, i.e., gibberellins, reduce development of black pecan aphids (Cottrell et al., 2009; 2010)

Lepidoptera Pests

- Pecan nut casebearer, Acrobasis nuxvorella - young larvae tunnel into young shoots & feed on nutlets; monitor with pheromones (by mid-April) - visit Pecan IPMPIPE
 - Apply control if 3% of nut clusters damaged
- Hickory shuckworm, Cydia caryana
- Feed on nut beginning early June, overwinters in shuck
- Check dropped nuts June & July; apply control if needed
- Destroy old shucks

Control of Lepidopteran Pests



- Bt (Bacillus thuringiensis) products have been registered/recommended for control of pecan nut casebearer, fall webworm, and walnut caterpillar, Datana integerrima (von Broembsen & Mulder, 2004; Knutson and Ree, 2004)
- However, Bt products tend to have relatively short residual times, and therefore careful timing of sprays is necessary
- Spinosad based products (e.g., Entrust®) derived from the naturally occurring soil bacterium, Saccharopolyspora spinosa
- Is effective in controlling pecan nut casebearer and hickory shuckworm, e.g. 100% suppression of PNC & 60-80% suppression of shuckworm (Dutcher and Hudson, 2003)
- Also registered for use against the fall webworm and walnut caterpillar



Stink bugs

- Brown stink bug, Euschistus servus
- The green stink bug, Acrosternum hilare Southern green stink bug, Nezara viridula
- · Trap crops can reduce stink bugs in the orchard
- http://nfrec.ifas.ufl.edu/MizellRF/stink_bugs/bug_trap_crops.htm
- Russ Mizell webinar on eOrganic
- Tillman et al. 2009, Environmental Entomology
- Mixed host species, e. g, crimson clover and vetch, sorghum, millet, buckwheat, and sunflower (Mizell, eOrganic)

Note - some stink bugs are good guys

Suppression of Key Peach Pests with **Organic Approaches**

D. Shapiro-Ilan¹, T. Cottrell¹, R. Mizell², Tracy Leskey³, & D. Horton⁴ ¹USDA-ARS: Byron, GA ²University of FL ³USDA-ARS: Kearneysville, WV ⁴University of GA



Plum Curculio, Conotrachelus nenuphar

- · Key pest in stone fruits
- (e.g., peach, plum, cherries)
- Also a major pest in pome fruits (e.g., applies, pears)



- Adult = damaging stage
- Fruit drop => larvae develop in soil
- · Adults overwinter in and around orchard
- Potential options: Surround®, Pyganic®, hogs (Grieshop et al. http://www.treefruit.msu.edu/extension/CAT/25_4.pdf
- Nematodes (Shapiro-Ilan et al. 2002, 2004, 2008; Alston et al. 2005; Pereault et al. 2009)

Plum Curculio – Initial Screening (lab)

Shapiro-Ilan, Mizell & Campbell 2002 J. Nematol.



Critical to choose the best nematode for each pest! For plum curculio we found most promise in Sc & Sr Vs. adults; And Sf & Sr Vs. larvae

Plum Curculio, Conotrachelus nenuphar

- Larval control with Sr is highly efficacious!
- <u>Problem?</u> = adult is the damaging stage and large numbers can potentially enter from external overwintering sites (Jenkins et al. 2006 Env. Ent)
- <u>Solution</u> =
- Set up trap crops/sentinel trees And develop <u>integrated program</u>
- targeting each stage (Leskey et al)





>95% larval suppression with Sr (Shapiro-Ilan et al. 2004, 2008) (81-88%, Pereault et al. 2009)

Integrated Program for Control of Plum Curculio Leskey, Shapiro-Ilan, Zhang, Wright et al

- Attract insects to trap trees on the border of orchards (trap trees in red)
- Apply curatives if possible...
- Some fruit drop is anticipated...so then apply EPNs to the soil to kill larvae and protect the crop
- Optimize nematode for soil and temperature (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2012 J. Nematol.)



Borers Attacking Peach



Peachtree Borer (PTB)

Synanthedon exitiosa (Lepidoptera: Sesiidae)

 Larval feeding occurs at or below soil level on the trunk and roots

> Highly damaging pest, especially to young trees





Summary/Research Needs



Pecan weevil: Beneficial nematodes & fungi (& use clover) <u>Aphids</u>: Conserve natural enemies, some curatives if needed <u>Lepidoptera</u>: monitor and use spinosad-based products (or Bt) <u>Stink bugs</u>: trap crops

Peach

<u>Plum curculio</u>: beneficial nematodes, *S. riobrave* is best <u>Peachtree borer</u>: beneficial nematodes, *S. carpocapsae* is best

<u>Research Needs</u>: Optimize existing tactics, find new biological approaches that work, improve conservation!

Control of Peachtree Borer with Entomopathogenic Nematodes

- High levels of control with *S. carpocapsae* Vs. young and mature larvae (88-100%), can be preventative or curative!
- Curative: apply to soil at base of tree in spring (300,000 nematodes per tree) (Cottrell and Shapiro-Ilan, 2006)
- Preventative: Apply 3X during egg-laying period (Shapiro-Ilan et al. 2009)
- **Promises to be very economical** (relative to other nematode applications) 0.075 to 0.15 billion Us per ha per application! (many commodities require >10x that amount)
- Some adoption initiated.
- Future research: Larger scale field tests, varying times, type, & rates of application



Acknowledgments

- <u>Co-Investigators</u>: T. Cottrell, W. Gardner, T. Leskey, D. Horton, & R. Mizell, L. Wells, S. Wright, & B. Wood
- <u>Technical assistance</u>: A. Amis, S. Byrd, H. Bartels, T. Brearley, W. Evans, L. Fall, K. Halat
- <u>Research Collaborators</u>: B. Behle, C. Dunlap, M. Harris, M. Jackson, D. Jenkins, J. Leland
- <u>Funding</u>: USDA-PMAP, USDA-SARE, USDA-SCRI, USDA-RAMP



Mention of a proprietary product name does not imply USDA's approval of the product to the exclusion of others that may be suitable

Thank you, and any questions?





Find the slides and recording of this presentation at http://www.extension.org/pages/66504

See all upcoming and archived eOrganic webinars at http://www.extension.org/pages/25242

Additional questions? Ask them at <u>http://www.extension.org/ask</u>

We need your feedback! Please fill out our follow-up email survey!

extension

